RECEIVED

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20554

APR - 7 1993

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of	>	
Expanded Interconnection with Local Telephone Company Facilities))	CC Docket No. 91-141
Amendment of the Part 69 Allocation of General Support Facilities Costs		CC Docket No. 92-222

OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY PETITION OF MFS TO HOLD PROCEEDINGS IN ABEYANCE

New York Telephone Company ("NYT") and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company ("NET"), collectively the "NYNEX Telephone Companies" or "NTCs", hereby file their Opposition to the Emergency Petition of MFS Communications, Inc. ("MFS") to hold in abeyance (1) the Common Carrier Bureau's review of the local exchange carrier ("LEC") zone

services. By inducing bureaucratic paralysis in the Commission's review of the LEC rates, MFS would force the LECs to maintain a price "umbrella" under which competitive access providers ("CAPS") like MFS would have an easy opportunity to lock up the market. So long as the LECs' rates continued to be uneconomically high due to study area averaging and due to overallocations of GSF costs, end users would have an overwhelming incentive to shift their circuits to the lower-priced services of the CAPs. Under the extremely liberal tariff rules that the Commission has applied to the CAPs as non-dominant carriers, they would continue to be treated as non-dominant even if this uneconomic pricing advantage allowed them to capture 100 percent of the market. This would not be competition, and MFS knows it.

MFS cannot accept the fact that Commission actions to increase competition, such as its decision in this proceeding to require expanded interconnection, will tend to drive prices down. That is the primary reason why competition is in the public interest. That is why customers like competition. It would serve no purpose for the Commission to mandate increased competition and then, as urged by MFS, to prohibit price competition by requiring the LECs to maintain artificial price ceilings.

The most telling indication that MFS does not "get it" is its comment that the Commission should ignore any support by end users for LEC rate reductions. In MFS' view, end users are simply "narrow private interests" whose views are misguided and

irrelevant.² MFS does not grasp (or chooses to ignore) the concept that common carriers exist to serve the public. The public does not exist to guarantee MFS success in its chosen business.

Although MFS styles its petition as a request to hold the above-referenced proceedings in abeyance, it is actually requesting a stay of those proceedings. However, MFS has failed to meet the requirements for a stay. MFS provides no evidence to support its allegation that it would suffer "imminent and irreparable competitive harm" if the Commission acted on the zone density plans and on the GSF allocation rule

no data to support its allegation that the LECs are currently pricing their Special Access services at predatory levels. Indeed, the only data that MFS cites show that the volume and term discount rates currently offered by the LECs are well above cost. Moreover, if the LEC prices were at predatory levels, by definition they would be designed to drive out competition. Yet, by all accounts, competition from the CAPs is thriving throughout the country.

The critical flaw in MFS' argument is its assumption that even if current LEC rates are above cost, rate reductions of up to 25 percent due to zone density pricing and GSF reallocation would produce below-cost rates. However, the data it cites to show that current LEC rates are close to cost

⁵ See Id. at p. 8.

The Commission has noted that the CAPs have achieved over 40 percent of the Special Access market in metropolitan New York, despite the fact that the New York Public

are study area average costs. Some density pricing is based on the Commission's finding, supported by many parties in Docket 91-141, that LEC costs are lower than the study area average in the high density zones and above average in the low density zones. Deaveraged costs should be substantially below the average costs levels cited by MFS. However, by allowing the LECs to reduce their tariffs by only 10 percent in the high density zones and by limiting offsetting rate increases to 5 percent in the low density zones, the Commission has not allowed the LECs to reflect the full extent of the cost differences associated with differences in traffic density. Thus, there is no logical reason why zone density deaveraging of rates and removal of the overallocation of GSF costs should cause rates to fall below costs.

Finally, MFS' allegation that "unbridled rate flexibility" for the LECs will "leave competitive special access services stillborn" is missing an essential ingredient; a showing that the LEC rate reductions would underprice the CAPs, and that the CAPs would not be in a position to match the LEC rate reductions. MFS does not care to even describe its own rate levels, much less its costs. However, MFS' FCC tariff allows it to reduce rates without limit, and the CAPs generally

See Docket 91-141, cost data filed by Bell Atlantic, Ameritech, and Pacific Bell on January 15, 1993. The carriers generally included costs for land and buildings in calculating the direct cost of each service.

See Expanded Interconnection with Local Telephone Company Facilities, CC Docket No. 91-141, Report and Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 92-440, released October 19, 1992, para. 175.

offer their services at rates that are 10 to 20 percent below the competing LEC's rates. Until MFS is willing to come forward and to place its own data on the record, the Commission should give no credence to MFS' claims that the LEC rates are harming competition.

For these reasons, MFS has not shown that it will suffer irreparable harm or that it is likely to prevail on the merits. It is clear, however, that the LECs would be harmed if the Commission granted MFS' request. Every day that the LECs are burdened with above—cost Special Access rates due to excessive allocations of GSF costs and due to geographic averaging gives the CAPs an uneconomic advantage in the competitive marketplace.

This harm is particularly acute for LECs, like the NTCs, that have implemented interim expanded interconnection tariffs. For example, since NYT's interim expanded interconnection tariff became effective in mid-December 1992, the CAPs have gained approximately 7 percent of the interstate DS1 central office-to-POP circuits in the NYT central offices where they are collocated. At the rate that the interstate collocated circuits are growing, the CAPs will install more DS1 circuits to their collocated spaces in the five months that the interim expanded interconnection tariffs will be effective than in the 18 months that the state collocation tariffs have been in the five months that the state collocation tariffs have been in the 18 months that the state collocation tariffs have been in the second of the state of the s

competitive harm by being required to offer physical collocation while being forced to maintain uneconomically high rates. MFS would compound this harm by extending this uneconomic burden on the NTCs indefinitely. It is the LECs who need immediate relief if they are to compete effectively with the CAPs in a collocated environment.

MFS' request clearly is not in the public interest. If the LECs were forced to maintain uneconomically high rates, customers would pay more both to the LECs and to the CAPs, and demand would be suppressed. Although end users would pay lower prices to the CAPs than under the LECs' existing tariffs, they would not pay prices as low as would be produced by effective competition. The full public benefits of the Commission's pro-competitive policies in general, and of its expanded interconnection policies in particular, would never be realized if MFS had its way.

The Commission should reject MFS' transparent attempt to "game" the regulatory process to advance its own interests at the expense of the LECs and the public. The Commission's decision to impose expanded interconnection requirements on the

^{10 (}Footnote Continued From Previous Page)

larger than the state market. In addition, the offices where the CAPs are currently collocated in New York provide access to 56 percent of NYT's DS1 circuits to IXC POPs in the state and to 75 percent of NYT's DS1 circuits to POPs in the New York metro LATA.

LECs makes it crucial that the Commission allow the LECs to align their rates with costs. There is no reason for the Commission to stay its actions on zone density pricing and GEF reallocation. MFS' petition should be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,

New York Telephone Company and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company

By: Actick A. Lee
Joseph Di Bella

120 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, NY 10605 914/644-5637

Their Attorneys

Dated: April 7, 1993

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing

OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY PETITION OF MFS TO HOLD PROCEEDINGS IN

ABEYANCE, was served by first class United States mail, postage prepaid, on each of the parties indicated on the attached service list, this 7th day of April, 1993.

LORRAINE LORYS

Francine J. Berry
David P. Condit
Mark C. Rosenblum
Peter H. Jacoby
295 North Maple Ave., Rm. 3244J1
Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920
FOR: AT&T

Roy L. Morris
ALLNET COMMUNICATION SERVICES, INC.
1990 M Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036

William J. Cowan
NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC SERVICE

Richard E. Wiley Michael Yourshaw William B. Baker Hollis G. Duensing
THE ASSOCIATION OF
AMERICAN RAILROADS
50 F Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Carol F. Sulkes CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY 8745 Higgins Road Chicago, Illinois 60631

Michael D. Lowe Lawrence W. Katz Michael E. Glover 1710 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 FOR: THE BELL ATLANTIC TELEPHONE COMPANIES Theodore D. Frank
Vonya B. McCann
Arent, Fox, Kintner, Plotkin & Kahn
1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-5339
FOR: CENTRAL TELEPHONE CO.

William B. Barfield Mr. Robert Sutherland THE BELLSOUTH TELEPHONE COMPANIES 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite 1800 Atlanta, Georgia 30367-6000 William D. Baskett, III
Thomas E. Taylor
David S. Bence
Frost & Jacobs
2500 Central Trust Center
201 E. Fifth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
FOR: CINCINNATI BELL TELEPHONE
COMPANY

Peter Arth, Jr.
Edward W. O'Neill
Irene K. Moosen
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
FOR: THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA AND THE PUC OF
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Robert J. Aamoth
Michael R. Wack
Reed Smith Shaw & McClay
1200 18th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
FOR: COMPETITIVE TELECOMMUNICATIONS
ASSOCIATION

Debra L. Lagapa
Ellen G. Block
Cathleen A. Massey
Morrison & Foerster
2000 Pennsylvania, Ave., N.W.
Suite 5500
Washington, D.C. 20006
FOR: THE CALIFORNIA BANKERS CLEARING
HOUSE ASSOC. AND THE NEW YORK

CLEARING HOUSE ASSOC.

Genevieve Morelli
COMPETITIVE TELECOMMUNICATIONS
ASSOCIATION
1140 Connecticut, Ave., NW
Suite 220
Washington, D.C. 20036

Randolph J. May Richard S. Whitt Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan 1275 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 FOR: COMPUSERVE INCORPORATED William E. Wyrough, Jr. FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Robert L. Hoegle
Timothy J. Fitzgibbon
Olwine, Connelly, Chase,
O'Donnell & Weyher
1701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20006
FOR: DIGITAL DIRECT, INC.

Thomas J. Casey
Ronald W. Gavillet
James M. Fink
Skadden, Arps, Slate,
Meagher & Flom
1440 New York Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
FOR: FMR CORP.

Daryl L. Avery
Peter G. Wolfe
Howard C. Davenport
450 Fifth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
FOR: PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Joe D. Edge Hopkins & Sutter 888 16th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 FOR: GENERAL COMMUNICATION, INC.

Randolph J. May Richard S. Whitt Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan 1275 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 FOR: EDS CORPORATION Ward W. Wueste, Jr. /W11L14 Richard McKenna /W11L21 GTE SERVICE CORPORATION P.O. Box 152092 Irving, Texas 75015-2092

John B. Lynn
EDS CORPORATION
Suite 1331, North Office Tower
1331 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

Gail L. Polivy 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington D.C. 20036 FOR: GTE SERVICE CORPORATION John P. Kelliher ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION 180 North LaSalle Street Suite 810 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Robert A. Mazer
Nixon, Hargrave, Devans & Doyle
One Thomas Circle, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005
FOR: THE LINCOLN TELEPHONE AND
TELEGRAPH COMPANY

Herbert E. Marks
David Alan Nall
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey
1201 Pennyslvania Ave., N.W.
P.O. Box 20044
FOR: INDEPENDENT DATA COMMUNICATIONS
MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION, INC.

Andrew D. Lipman
Russell M. Blau
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
3000 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20007
FOR: METROPOLITAN FIBER SYSTEMS, INC.

Andrew D. Lipman
Richard M. Rindler
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
3000 K St., N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007
FOR: INDIANA DIGITAL ACCESS, INC.

Eric Fishman
Sullivan & Worcester
1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
FOR: LONG DISTANCE NORTH

Angela Burnett
INFORMATION INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION
555 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20001

Marilyn Moore Robin Pischel Ancona MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STAFF 6545 Mercantile Way P.O. Box 30221 Lansing, Michigan 48909

Brian R. Moir
Larry A. Blosser
Fisher, Wayland, Cooper and
Leader
1255 23rd St., N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20037-1170
FOR: INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS
ASSOCIATION

Martin E. Freidel
MIDAMERICAN COMMUNICATIONS
CORPORATION
7100 W. Center Rd, Ste. 300
Omaha, Nebraska 68106-2723

Daniel O. Coy METROCOMM 50 West Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 James P. Tuthill Jeffrey B. Thomas 140 New Montgomery St., Rm. 1522-A San Francisco, CA 94105 FOR: PACIFIC BELL AND NEVADA BELL

Paul Rodgers
Charles D. Gray
James Bradford Ramsay
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY
UTILITY COMMISSIONERS
1102 ICC Building
Post Office Box 684
Washington, D.C. 20044

Stanley J. Moore 1275 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 FOR: PACIFIC BELL AND NEVADA BELL

Richard A. Askoff
NATIONAL EXCHANGE CARRIER
ASSOCIATION, INC.
100 South Jefferson Road
Whippany, New Jersey 07981

Joseph C. Harkins, Jr. PENN ACCESS CORPORATION Centre City Tower 650 Smithfield Street Pittsburgh, PA 15222-3907

David Cosson
L. Marie Guillory
NATIONAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE
ASSOCIATION
2626 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Irwin A. Popowsky
Philip F. McClelland
PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE OF
CONSUMER ADVOCATE
1425 Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Lisa M. Zaina
THE ORGANIZATION FOR THE PROTECTION
AND ADVANCEMENT OF SMALL TELEPHONE
COMPANIES
21 Dupont Circle, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036

Stuart Dolgin
PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK
SERVICES OF NEW YORK, INC.
17 Battery Place
Suite 1200
New York, New York 10004-1256

Paul J. Berman Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Post Office Box 7566 Washington, D.C. 20044 FOR: PUERTO RICO TELEPHONE COMPANY Margot Smiley Humphrey
Koteen & Naftalin
1150 Connecticut Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20036
FOR: TDS TELECOMMUNICATIONS
CORPORATION

Josephine S. Trubek
ROCHESTER TELEPHONE CORPORATION
180 South Clinton Avenue
Rochester, New York 14646

Michael L. Glaser Joseph P. Benkert K. Harsha Krishnan Hopper and Kanouff, P.C. 1610 Wynkoop St., Suite 200 Denver, Colorado 80202-1196 FOR: TELEPORT DENVER LTD.

E. William Kobernusz SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE COMPANY 227 Church Street New Haven, Connecticut 06510-1806 Lawrence E. Sarjeant
Kathryn Marie Krause
James T. Hannon
1020 19th St., N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036
FOR: U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

James E. Taylor
Durward D. Dupre
Richard C. Hartgrove
Michael J. Zpevak
1010 Pine Street, Rm. 2114
St. Louis, Missouri 63101
FOR: SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE
VOMPANY

Martin T. McCue U.S. TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION 900 19th St., N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-2105

James S. Blaszak
Charles C. Hunter
Gardner, Carton & Douglas
1301 K Street, N.W.
Suite 900 - East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005
FOR: AD HOC TELECOMMUNICATIONS
USERS COMMITTEE

Jay C. Keithley 1850 M St., N.W., Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20036 FOR: THE UNITED TELEPHONE SYSTEM COMPANIES W. Richard Morris
P.O. Box 11315
Kansas City, MO 64112
FOR: THE UNITED TELEPHONE
SYSTEM COMPANIES

Joseph W. Miller
Suite 3600
P.O. Box 2400
One Williams Center
Tulsa, OK 74102
FOR: WILLIAMS TELECOMMUNICATIONS
GROUP, INC.

Leon M. Kestenbsum
H. Richard Juhnke
US SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
1850 M Street, N.W., 11th F1.

Frank Krogh
Larry A. Blosser
Donald J. Elardo
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
1801 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.



Peter A. Casciato, Esq. A Professional Corporation Suite 201 1500 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111 FOR: CELLULAR SERVICE, INC. Cindy Z. Schonhaut MFS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, INC. 3000 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007

Ron Tolliver
INTERMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS OF
FLORIDA, INC.
9280 Bay Plaza Boulevard
Suite 720
Tampa, Florida 33619

Earl C. Kamsky
ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, INC.
P.O. Box 4959
8100 N.E., Parkway Drive
Vancouver, Washington 98662

Charles H. Helein
James U. Troup
CONSOLIDATED TELEPHONE CO.
AND CONSOLIDATED TELCO, INC.
1801 K Street, NW
Suite 400K
Washington, DC 20006

Werner K. Hartenberger Leonard J. Kennedy Laura H. Phillips Dow, Lohnes & Albertson 1255 Twenty-Third Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 FOR: COX TELEPORT, INC.

Larry Van Ruler
TALLON, CHEESEMAN AND
ASSOCIATES, INC.
3817 Betty Drive, Suite H
Colorado Springs, CO 80907

Jonathan E. Canis Swidler & Berlin, Chartered 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007 FOR: ALTS

Andrew D. Lipman
Jonathan E. Canis
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007
FOR: INTERMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS OF
FLORIDA, INC.

Francine J. Berry
David P. Condit
Judy Sello
American Telephone and
Telegraph Company
295 North Maple Avenue
Room 3244J1
Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920

Dennis Mullins
Vincent L. Crivella
Michael J. Ettner
General Services Administration
18th & F Streets, N.W.
Room 4002
Washington, D.C. 20405

Floyd S. Keene Michael S. Pabian Ameritech Operating Companies 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Room 4H76 Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Robert C. Atkinson Senior Vice President Teleport Communications Group 1 Teleport Drive, Suite 301 Staten Island, New York 10311

Michael D. Lowe Lawrence W. Katz The Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies 1710 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 Gail L. Polivy 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036 Attorneys for GTE Service Corp.

William B. Barfield Richard M. Sbaratta Gregory J. Darnell Manager. Regulatory Analysis

Richard A. Askoff National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc. 100 South Jefferson Road Whippany, New Jersey 07981 Linda D. Hershman Vice President - External Affairs The Southern New England Telephone Co. 227 Church Street New Haven, CT 06510

James P. Tuthill
Betsy S. Granger
Pacific Bell
Nevada Bell
140 New Montgomery Street
Room 1525
San Francisco, California 94105

James E. Taylor Richard C. Hartgrove John Paul Walters, Jr. Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. 1010 Pine Street, Room 2114 St. Louis, Missouri 63101

Daryl L. Avery
Paul B. D'Ari
Public Service Commission of
the District of Columbia
450 Fifth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Thomas J. Moorman General Counsel Regulatory and Industry Affairs John Staurulakis, Inc. 6315 Seabrook Road Seabrook, Maryland 20706

Josephine S. Trubek
General Counsel
Rochester Telephone Corporation
180 South Clinton Avenue
Rochester, New York 14646

Laurie J. Bennett James T. Hannon U S West Communications, Inc. 1020 19th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

Leon M. Kestenbaum H. Richard Juhnke Sprint Communications Co. 1850 M Street, N.W., 11th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036

Martin T. McCue
Vice President and
General Counsel
United States Telephone Assn.
900 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20006

Jay C. Keithley United Telephone Companies 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20036